

<b>DOCKET</b> <b>01-AFC-14</b>
<b>DATE</b> JUL 02 2002
<b>RECD</b> JUL 02 2002



## Roseville Joint Union High School District

<http://www.rjuhsd.k12.ca.us>

Christopher Grimes, AICP  
Director of Facilities Development

# 2 Tiger Way, Roseville, CA 95678  
(916) 782-4707, Ext. 4  
(916) 782-4030 FAX  
E-Mail: [cgrimes@rjuhsd.k12.ca.us](mailto:cgrimes@rjuhsd.k12.ca.us)

BOARD OF TRUSTEES  
TAMI BRODNIK  
DEAN FORMAN  
JAMES JOINER  
GARY A. KIDDER, Jr  
R. JAN PINNEY

To: Lance Shaw

From: Christopher Grimes *Ch*

Date: July 2, 2002

Re: Roseville Energy Facility

Attached is a response to Enron Corp regarding data requested by our school district for assessing potential hazards to sensitive receptors as a result of the development of the power plant in close proximity to proposed school sites. At this time we do not have us adequate to data from Enron to find that the proposed power plant will not pose a hazard to students of our District, which may preclude development of proposed schools in the area where new development is planned by the City of Roseville.

PROOF OF SERVICE (REVISED \_\_\_\_\_) FILED WITH  
ORIGINAL MAILED FROM SACRAMENTO ON 7/2/02

*Adrian H.*



## Roseville Joint Union High School District

<http://www.rjuhsd.k12.ca.us>

Christopher Grimes, AICP  
Director of Facilities Development

# 2 Tiger Way, Roseville, CA 95678  
(916) 782-4707, Ext. 4  
(916) 782-4030 FAX  
E-Mail: [cgrimes@rjuhsd.k12.ca.us](mailto:cgrimes@rjuhsd.k12.ca.us)

### BOARD OF TRUSTEES

TAMI BRODNIK  
- DEAN FORMAN  
JAMES JOINER  
GARY A. KIDDER, Jr  
R. JAN PINNEY

June 26, 2002

Enron North America Corp.  
Samuel Wehn  
1 Market, Spear Tower, Suite 3600  
San Francisco, California  
94105

Dear Mr. Wehn,

Re: High School # 6

Thank you for the recently provided *Findings Regarding The Roseville Energy Facility And School Sites In The West Roseville Specific Plan (Draft 6/5/02)*. While this information does provide a discussion of findings developed on your behalf for this project, it will not be satisfactory for resolving the school site development issues that must be evaluated before the California Department of Education can approve the school locations proposed in the West Roseville Specific Plan. The CDE will require specific technical data to support the finding of no hazardous air emissions from the plant. Until such time as this matter is resolved to the satisfaction of CDE our District does not recommend the development of a power plant at the proposed location.

At this time our District must take a position with the California Energy Commission that the proposed Roseville Energy Facility may be an incompatible development for land within close proximity of our planned high school and other proposed schools within the project area. The planning of these two projects is running concurrently, however the technical evaluation of the power plant has created the potential to preclude the development of any schools within the proposed West Roseville Specific Plan area as a result of the Roseville Energy project.

Until such time as specific technical data including air modeling and risk assessment studies have been prepared to address the issue of sensitive receptors within close proximity to the power plant it appears that the project proponents are expressing opinions that have not been based on specific scientific evidence. It will be necessary for these technical studies to stand up to the scrutiny and peer review of staff of the State of California Department of Toxic Substances Control and the California Department of Education with regard to the location of school sites within proximity to the proposed project. The regulatory authority under which this review will take place is included within section 21151.8 et. seq. of the Public Resource Code, and section 17213 et. seq. of the Education Code.

Sincerely,

Christopher Grimes  
Director of Facilities Development



## Roseville Joint Union High School District

<http://www.rjuhsd.k12.ca.us>

Christopher Grimes, AICP  
Director of Facilities Development

# 2 Tiger Way, Roseville, CA 95678  
(916) 782-4707, Ext. 4  
(916) 782 4030 FAX  
E-Mail: [cgrimes@rjuhsd.k12.ca.us](mailto:cgrimes@rjuhsd.k12.ca.us)

BOARD OF TRUSTEES  
TAMI BRODNIK  
DEAN FORMAN  
JAMES JOINER  
GARY A. KIDDER, Jr  
R. JAN PINNEY

June 26, 2002

Enron North America Corp.  
Samuel Wehn  
1 Market, Spear Tower, Suite 3600  
San Francisco, California  
94105


Dear Mr. Wehn,

Re: High School # 6

Thank you for the recently provided *Findings Regarding The Roseville Energy Facility And School Sites In The West Roseville Specific Plan (Draft 6/5/02)*. While this information does provide a discussion of findings developed on your behalf for this project, it will not be satisfactory for resolving the school site development issues that must be evaluated before the California Department of Education can approve the school locations proposed in the West Roseville Specific Plan. The CDE will require specific technical data to support the finding of no hazardous air emissions from the plant. Until such time as this matter is resolved to the satisfaction of CDE our District does not recommend the development of a power plant at the proposed location.

At this time our District must take a position with the California Energy Commission that the proposed Roseville Energy Facility may be an incompatible development for land within close proximity of our planned high school and other proposed schools within the project area. The planning of these two projects is running concurrently, however the technical evaluation of the power plant has created the potential to preclude the development of any schools within the proposed West Roseville Specific Plan area as a result of the Roseville Energy project.

Until such time as specific technical data including air modeling and risk assessment studies have been prepared to address the issue of sensitive receptors within close proximity to the power plant it appears that the project proponents are expressing opinions that have not been based on specific scientific evidence. It will be necessary for these technical studies to stand up to the scrutiny and peer review of staff of the State of California Department of Toxic Substances Control and the California Department of Education with regard to the location of school sites within proximity to the proposed project. The regulatory authority under which this review will take place is included within section 21151.8 et. seq. of the Public Resource Code, and section 17213 et. seq. of the Education Code.

Sincerely,  
  
Christopher Grimes  
Director of Facilities Development

(Signed faxed copy)